1	
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	TOR THE WORTHER DISTRICT OF CHER OR WIT
7)
8 9 10	In re GEORGE MARTIN, H-90626, ORDER OF DISMISSAL
11	Plaintiff.)
12	
13	
14	On August 25, 2015, the clerk filed as a new prisoner action a letter by
15	plaintiff complaining of improper medical care and safety at Salinas Valley State
16	Prison. On that same date, the court notified plaintiff in writing that the action wa
17	deficient because he did not file an actual complaint or pay the requisite filing fee
18	or, instead, submit a signed and completed court-approved in forma pauperis (IFP)
19	application. Plaintiff was advised that failure to file the requested items within 28
20	days would result in dismissal of the action.
21	More than 40 days have elapsed; however, plaintiff has not provided the
22	court with the requisite items or sought an extension of time to do so. The action
23	is DISMISSED without prejudice.
24	The clerk shall close the file and terminate all pending motions as moot.
25	SO ORDERED.
26 27	DATED: Oct. 13, 2015 CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge